

I support fully the well-founded Comment submitted by The American Radio Relay League concerning Docket ET 03-104.

I conclude the comments made by proponents of Broadband over Power Line (BPL) have little if any credible scientific data to support approval of this docket at this time.

I also conclude that one or more of the present methods of Internet access (Dial-up, ISDN, DSL, ADSL, Cable, Direct Satellite, etc.) are easily available and fully capable of supporting the needs of every person who needs it.

Furthermore, none of these currently available and well-functioning methods of access will impinge on the freedoms of any other person to use another form of communication.

This same assurance of non-interference to others cannot be given when using BPL.

Numerous tests by reputable concerns have overwhelmingly proven that BPL does and will cause irreparable interference to services already licensed to operate in the 2 MHz - 80 MHz frequency range.

Further research and innovations in BPL will be needed to avoid the proven risk that this still emergent, currently flawed and functionally redundant technology will foist upon those entities that are already licensed by the FCC.

Approval of ET 03-104 would violate the existing FCC rules on non-interference and would be contrary to the best interest of the public.

In America, each person's freedom extends only as far as it does not restrict the freedom of another. This principle can and should be applied where an industry would like to implement a flawed technology that will curtail, if not eliminate the vocation, avocation or interest of many other people.

Paul D. Sergi